

Original Paper

Pokémon GO Within the Context of Family Health: Retrospective Study

Lisa K Militello¹, MPH, PhD; Nathan Hanna², BSc; Claudio R Nigg³, PhD

¹Martha S Pitzer Center for Women, Children, and Youth, College of Nursing, The Ohio State University, Columbus, OH, United States

²College of Nursing, The Ohio State University, Columbus, OH, United States

³Office of Public Health Studies, University of Hawaii, Honolulu, HI, United States

Corresponding Author:

Lisa K Militello, MPH, PhD

Martha S Pitzer Center for Women, Children, and Youth

College of Nursing

The Ohio State University

1585 Neil Avenue

Columbus, OH, 43210

United States

Phone: 1 614 688 4316

Email: militello.14@osu.edu

Abstract

Background: Pokémon GO illuminated the potential for mobile phone gaming apps to engage users and promote health. However, much work is needed to fully understand the mechanisms through which digitally supported behavior change interventions operate, particularly for children and families.

Objective: The aims of this study were (1) to explore the Pokémon GO user experience from a family perspective and (2) to investigate Pokémon GO within the context of family health.

Methods: Between January and February 2017, congruent with one of the largest anticipated Pokémon GO updates Gen 2, participants were recruited from parks, word of mouth, and social media to complete a Web-based survey. Participants were surveyed about family characteristics, interest, and experiences playing Pokémon GO and healthy lifestyle beliefs. Using a revised Godin Leisure-Time Exercise Questionnaire, a retrospective pre-post design assessed changes in parent physical activity (PA) before and after playing Pokémon GO.

Results: Self-reported data from 160 parents and 31 children were included in the final analyses (representing 129 parents and 31 parent-child dyads). Gameplay most often occurred between sons aged 10 years or younger and mothers. “Spending time together” was the most cited reason for gameplay by both parents (122/160, 76.3%) and children (24/31, 77%), followed by “it helped me go outdoors” for parents (113/160, 70.1%) and “I am a Pokémon fan” by children (21/31, 68%). Interestingly, open-ended responses indicated that gameplay could trigger both positive and negative emotional parent response. The most cited reason for app disengagement was boredom; conversely, the most cited reason for app re-engagement was in-app events. For parents, there were significant increases in minutes spent in mild (mean 23.36 [SD 66.02]; $t_{97}=3.50$, $P<.001$) and moderate (mean 21.76 [SD 53.04]; $t_{130}=4.70$, $P<.001$) PA per week after playing Pokémon GO. However, child perceptions of parental influence on PA most significantly associated with parents who reported weekly strenuous PA both before ($r_s=.514$, $P=.003$) and after ($r_s=.536$, $P=.003$) Pokémon GO uptake.

Conclusions: Pokémon GO transcended traditional understanding of digital health and uniquely reached across generations to engage users. Findings from this study highlight that, for a period of time, Pokémon GO fostered social and physical well-being for children and families through a multifaceted approach.

(*JMIR Pediatr Parent* 2018;1(2):e10679) doi: [10.2196/10679](https://doi.org/10.2196/10679)

KEYWORDS

family; pediatrics; mHealth; exercise; mobile health, public health

Introduction

Background

Health and behavior are interrelated. Globally, unhealthy lifestyle choices such as poor diet and physical inactivity are associated with chronic disease and reduced mortality [1]. In families, parent and child health are also interrelated through shared genetic and environmental factors [2-4]. There is strong evidence that parenting self-efficacy (belief in one's ability) and parenting behavior are key social mechanisms in the intergenerational transmission of self-regulation and consistently correlate with a wide range of parent and child physical and psychological outcomes [5-7]. Stemming from decades of empirical support and grounded in theoretical foundations [2,8,9], core behavior change strategies frequently used to promote healthy lifestyle choices in both parents and children include, but are not limited to, self-monitoring, goal setting, social support, and the promotion of self-regulation and self-efficacy [2,10-13]. Given the shift toward precision medicine and ubiquitous nature of mobile phones, there is growing interest in the utility of mobile phones to monitor, assess, and support delivery of core behavior change strategies [14-16].

Most Americans (95%) are *connected* via mobile phones and other mobile devices, and more than half (62%) of the mobile phone owners have used their phone to get health information [17]. Mobile phone and Web-based media consume a significant portion of leisure time for individuals, couples, and families [18], with increasingly more families connecting *on the go* [19]. Nearly all children below the age of 8 years live in a home with some type of mobile device and on an average spend approximately 48 min per day on mobile devices each day [20]. Due to advances in technology, our understanding of human behavior is more dynamic than static to account for the ever-changing biological, social, personal, and contextual states associated with human behavior [21]. However, much work is needed to fully understand the mechanisms through which digitally supported behavior change interventions influence behavior in everyday real-world settings [22,23]. In particular, the design of many behavior intervention technologies focuses on individual health and does not address family health in a unified manner [3]. Yet, technology and online media provide a context for people to jointly create meaningful connections [23].

Stemming from the large multimedia Pokémon franchise, Pokémon GO became a global sensation in 2016 with estimates of 32 to 65 million monthly players at the peak of its popularity [24,25]. In Pokémon GO, players search for game-related characters or animations that have been overlaid onto real-world images (ie, augmented reality) via global positioning system capabilities of a mobile device [24,26,27]. Game play that requires physical activity (PA) is also referred to as active video games and can be useful in promoting PA when played as designed [24,28,29]. Not promoted as a *health* app, there is evidence to support significant changes in PA before and after playing Pokémon GO, as well as improvements in psychological health and cognitive performance [30-40]. As such, Pokémon

GO sparked several conversations, hypotheses, and theories regarding motivation to play and the potential for similar games to synergize behavior change interventions [24,41-45].

A number of Pokémon GO studies have been conducted in college-aged students and young adults [32,36,37,39,40,46,47]. Fewer studies have examined Pokémon GO gameplay in pediatric populations [26,48,49] or within the context of family health. From the limited Pokémon GO family research, it is evident that gameplay “wasn’t really about the Pokémon.” [26]. In both parent and child Pokémon GO players, there is empirical support for socialization and family bonding associated with gameplay [26,48]. Pokémon GO also provided families with opportunities for exercise and outdoor time [26,48]. Although parental concerns related to injury prevention were commonly reported (eg, accidents and stranger danger) [26,48], concerns were often reconciled as family gameplay was perceived to be *different* than other types of screen time, promoting exercise, cooperation, and enjoyable exploration [26,48].

Pokémon GO succeeded in providing both spontaneous and planned opportunities across settings for parents and children to use media to create, discover, and mutually engage [26]. Engagement is critical to the success of any digitally supported behavior change intervention. Engagement may be conceptualized as the intervention itself (content and delivery), the context (setting and population in which the intervention is used), and the targeted behavior [50]. Joint media engagement (JME) refers to people using media together through a variety of spontaneous and designed experiences [23]. A working assumption of JME is that what goes on between people and around media can be as important as the content designed into the media [23]. If Pokémon GO gameplay for families was motivated by factors outside of the game itself, it is valuable to explore factors and context that led to initial engagement, trends over time, and associated health benefits.

Objectives

Using family as a locus for JME and building upon previous literature, we investigated the Pokémon GO user experience from a family perspective and within the context of family health. We extended the science by exploring both parent and child perspectives of gameplay, investigated plausible theories of behavior change, and learned more about the potential for behavior change technologies that engage both the child and parent to support family health. Recommendations for future behavior change technologies aimed at promoting family health are presented.

Methods

Study Design

Descriptive survey data were collected from parents or adult caregivers “parents” who were impacted by a child or teen “child” that played Pokémon GO. The parent survey was used to understand family characteristics, parent experiences with Pokémon GO, and parent beliefs toward engaging in healthy lifestyle behaviors with their child. In addition, retrospective pre-post design was used to investigate changes in parent PA before and after playing Pokémon GO. Children and teens

“children” who played Pokémon GO were also invited to participate. The child survey was used to understand child experiences with Pokémon GO as well as their perceptions of parental influence on PA. Surveys were disseminated to participants via a Qualtrics survey link. Methods and Results are described according to the Checklist for Reporting Results of Internet E-Surveys [51].

Ethics

The Ohio State University Institutional Review Board approved this study. Before participation, electronic consent was obtained from parents. Child assent and parental consent were required for participation of the child. Children could complete the survey with the assistance of a parent or research team member (semistructured interview). All participants opted to complete the consents, assents, and surveys electronically and remotely (vs in-person).

Subjects

One of the largest updates to Pokémon GO, Gen 2, was projected to release in February 2017. In an effort to coalesce recruitment and data collection with viral trends associated with Pokémon GO, an 8-week recruitment occurred between January and February 2017. Participants were recruited from the research team-initiated efforts to include word of mouth, social media announcements, and email blasts. In addition, fliers were disseminated among local parks, libraries, and coffee shops in urban (eg, Columbus, OH) and suburban neighborhoods (eg, suburban Ann Arbor, MI). Parents were included if they were 18 years old or older, spoke or read English, and had a child who played Pokémon GO. Children were included if they were 5 to 17 years old, played Pokémon GO (currently or in the past), and spoke or read English. Children were not required to have a parent in the study to participate. However, all children enrolled had a parent participate. Parents could earn US \$20 and children could earn US \$10 in gift cards for their participation.

Data Collection and Measurements

Participants were asked to complete a one-time data collection via a survey link. Participants were able to toggle forward and back to review or change answers. Internet protocol (IP) addresses were used to reduce the likelihood of duplicate entry from the same user. Similar to other key protected health information identifiers (eg, phone number and email address), IP addresses were removed from the dataset and not used to identify any individuals.

Parents were asked to complete 3 surveys consisting of both open- and close-ended questions:

- *Family’s characteristics and Pokémon GO user experience* were obtained from a survey developed from techniques used in anthropology and human-computer interaction. The initial popularity and widespread penetration of Pokémon GO provided opportunities to observe users in the wild. The principal investigator engaged in need finding and *deep hanging out* [52-54] and created a Pokémon GO account, using the app with her child, spending time in parks and neighborhoods. To learn more about Pokémon GO itself,

information was gathered from general press releases related to gameplay; visits to the Niantic Pokémon GO webpage; and trends, blogs, and feedback on social media. Iterative assessments of survey questions were discussed within a small academic Pokémon GO collaborative, which included colleagues similarly interested in exploring the Pokémon GO phenomenon for scientific value. A family snapshot was obtained from general demographic questions and questions related to family interests or goals. We inquired about the Pokémon GO user experience from the parent perspective (parent as a player), “Do you periodically check Pokémon GO throughout the day?” and parent perceptions of their child’s gameplay, “Does your child play Pokémon GO on his/her own phone or parent/adult phone?”

- *Healthy lifestyle beliefs scale* [55] was used to assess parental beliefs toward their ability to engage in healthy lifestyle behaviors (eg, “I believe I can help my child to lead a healthy life.” “I believe that I can reach the health goals that I set for myself.”). Participants responded to each item on a Likert scale ranging from 1 (strongly disagree) to 5 (strongly agree). Composite scores were averaged and presented as means, SDs, with higher scores indicative of stronger beliefs. Cronbach alphas have consistently been above .80 [56].
- A revised version of the *Godin-Shepard Leisure-Time Physical Activity Questionnaire* [57] was used to assess retrospective pre-post design and to investigate changes in parent PA since playing Pokémon GO. Days per week (0 to 7) and minutes per day (in 10-min intervals from 0 to 60+) spent in strenuous, moderate, and mild PA before and after beginning to play Pokémon GO were self-reported. A Leisure Score Index (LSI) was determined by multiplying the frequency of each level of PA by a corresponding metabolic equivalent of task value: 3 (mild), 5 (moderate), and 9 (strenuous) [27]. Individuals with LSI greater than or equal to 24 are recognized as active, whereas individuals with LSI less than or equal to 23 are classified as insufficiently active [58]. Three additional questions assessed hours of daily sedentary behavior before playing Pokémon GO [38].

Children were asked to complete 2 surveys consisting of both open- and close-ended questions:

- *Child characteristics and Pokémon GO user experience* were assessed via 19 questions consisting of demographic questions and questions related to experiences playing Pokémon GO. For example, children were asked to indicate, “Who do you typically play Pokémon GO with?” Similarly, “Did you participate in any Pokémon GO holiday events (eg, Pokémon GO Halloween?)? Please respond yes or no.” An open-ended question appeared at the end of the survey, “Is there anything else you want to share with us about why you play Pokémon GO?”
- *Parental influence on physical activity scale* (14 questions; Cronbach alpha >.70) [59,60] captures parental influence on a child’s PA via 4 subscales: general parenting support, active parents, past activity, and guiding support. Composite scores were averaged for a mean score, with higher scores indicative of greater support.

Analysis

Data were analyzed using descriptive analyses, including means, SDs, and frequencies. Spearman rank correlation (ρ) was used to assess relationships among study variables. Qualitative data were limited, stemming from open-ended survey questions. When possible, descriptive analysis of survey comments was used to identify recurrent themes and to highlight anecdotal feedback. As missing data were minimal and to ensure study quality, only data that were 90% or more completed were included in the final analysis, yielding a 98% parent and 94% child completion rate.

Results

Family Characteristics

Self-reported data from 160 parents and 31 children were included in the final analyses (representing 129 parents and 31 parent-child dyads). Both parent (141/160, 88.1%) and child (27/31, 87%) samples were overwhelmingly white. Over 60% of household incomes earned greater than US \$50,000 annually, with half of that reporting incomes greater than US \$100,000, and nearly 35% of the parents had a 4-year college degree. [Tables 1-3](#) provide the family characteristics.

Table 1. Self-reported parent characteristics (n=160).

Characteristics	n (%)
Age (years)	
18-24	14 (8.8)
25-34	65 (40.6)
35-44	58 (36.2)
>45	23 (14.4)
Gender	
Female	115 (71.9)
Male	45 (28.1)
Race or ethnicity	
Black	5 (3.1)
White	141 (88.1)
Hispanic	4 (2.5)
Asian	3 (1.9)
Native American	3 (1.9)
Other	3 (1.9)
Missing	1 (0.6)

Table 2. Parent reporting on their child's characteristics (n=160).

Characteristics	n (%)
Age (years)	
≤10	107 (66.8)
11-15	35 (21.9)
≥16	11 (6.9)
Missing	7 (4.4)
Gender	
Female	46 (28.8)
Male	109 (68.1)
Missing	5 (3.1)
School level by grade	
Elementary (up to fifth)	123 (76.8)
Middle (sixth to eighth)	20 (12.5)
High school (ninth to twelfth)	14 (8.8)
Other	3 (1.9)

Table 3. Self-reported child characteristics (n=31).

Characteristics ^a	n (%)
Age, in years	
≤10	22 (71)
11-15	6 (19)
≥16	3 (10)
Gender	
Female	7 (23)
Male	24 (77)
School level by grade	
Elementary (up to fifth)	22 (71)
Middle (sixth to eighth)	4 (13)
High school (ninth to twelfth)	5 (16)
Race or ethnicity	
White	27 (87)
Black	1 (3)
Hispanic or Spanish	1 (3)
Asian	2 (7)

^aData for children consented and assented into the study.

User Experiences

For aim 1, data reflect facets of user experiences (eg, adoptability, accessibility, desirability, usability, and value) [54,61]. Before Pokémon GO, the majority of parents (142/156, 91.0%) and children (24/31, 77%) never played an augmented reality game. Parents indicated that more than half of the children borrowed a parent's phone for gameplay (82/154, 53.3%), whereas 46.7% (72/154) of children played on their own phone. The majority of parents (79/155, 51.0%)

downloaded the game within a week of release, whereas the majority of children downloaded the game within a month of release (12/30, 40%). However, nearly a fifth of both parents (28/155, 18.1%) and children (6/30, 20%) downloaded the game on the day it was released. Over 40% (69/160, 43.1%) of parents never engaged with the Pokémon brand before Pokémon GO. Conversely, children identified with the Pokémon brand through television shows (28/31, 90%), card collecting (23/31, 74%), and/or books (16/31, 52%). Table 4 highlights aspects of gameplay in families.

Open-ended questions provided insight into motivation and rationale for disengagement and re-engagement with the game (Table 5). Unique to family gameplay, parenting behaviors such as screen-time monitoring or leveraging the game for child reward or punishment (eg, “child punishment was over”) influenced engagement. Parent comments suggested that boredom was the main factor related to disengaging from the game, whereas in-app events brought users back to the game. These in-app events and updates could elicit enjoyment and excitement, “It is fun to see new things” and “New Pokémon!!!”

To explore gameplay within the broader context of family, parents were asked to identify 3 goals for themselves or their families. The 2 most cited goals were having fun (114/160, 71.3%) and spending more or quality time with their children (113/160, 70.6%). The third most reported goal was to exercise more (74/160, 46.3%). Making more money was the least reported goal (20/160, 12.5%). Most parents reported that playing Pokémon GO helped them to meet 2 or more of their family goals (107/155, 69.0%), and another 33% of parents reported that gameplay helped them meet 3 or more of their goals. Both parents and children cited multiple reasons for gameplay, yet “spending time with family” was the most cited

reason. The majority of dyadic gameplay occurred between a child aged 10 years or younger and a parent (107/160, 66.8%). Parents reported gameplay with sons most often (111/160, 69.4%), and children reported gameplay with mothers most often 87% (27/31). Interestingly, more than half of the parents (107/160, 66.8%) reported playing Pokémon GO *for their child*, even when the child was not physically present. Table 6 provides the social perspectives of gameplay.

Profiles of Family Health

For aim 2, Pokémon GO was investigated within the context of family health. First, self-reported parental beliefs toward engaging in healthy lifestyle behaviors were relatively high. The average score was 75.00/90.00 (n=160; SD 10.2). Parental indicators of health behavior were obtained from retrospective self-reports of sedentary and PA behaviors. Sedentary behaviors were categorized into television or video watching, video game playing, or surfing the internet or Web. Watching 1 to 3 hours of television daily was the most frequently reported sedentary behavior (n=153, mean 2.71 [SD 1.93]). Surfing the internet accounted for 1 to 2 hours of daily sedentary behavior (n=150, mean 2.43 [SD 1.59]), with fewer parents reporting that they played video games (98/160, 61.3%).

Table 4. Facets of Pokémon GO gameplay.

Aspect	Parent self-report (n=160), n (%)	Parent reporting on their child's gameplay (n=160), n (%)	Child self-report (n=31), n (%)
Played game while doing something else (eg, walking somewhere and waiting in line)			
Yes	145 (90.6)	122 (76.3)	26 (84)
No	11 (6.9)	34 (21.3)	4 (13)
Missing	4 (2.5)	4 (2.5)	1 (3)
Number of times game checked throughout the day			
0	6 (3.7)	0 (0.0)	12 (39)
1	21 (13.1)	73 (45.6)	2 (6)
2 to 3	51 (31.9)	56 (35.0)	10 (32)
4+	78 (48.8)	27 (16.9)	7 (23)
Missing	4 (2.5)	4 (2.5)	—
In the past 7 days, number of episodes gameplay lasted for more than 30 min			
5+	62 (38.8)	28 (17.5)	5 (16)
3 to 4	47 (29.4)	37 (23.1)	6 (19)
2 to 3	38 (23.8)	68 (42.5)	17 (55)
0	9 (5.6)	23(14.4)	3 (10)
Missing	4 (2.5)	4 (2.5)	—
Participated in in-app events			
Yes	127 (79.4)	58 (36.3)	21 (68)
No	29 (18.1)	97 (60.6)	10 (6)
Missing	4 (2.5)	5 (3.1)	—
Participated in community-sponsored Pokémon GO events			
Yes	91 (56.9)	—	10 (32)
No	65 (40.6)	—	21 (68)
Missing	4 (2.5)	—	—
Purchased portable phone battery for gameplay			
Yes	96 (60)	—	11 (36)
No	60 (37.5)	—	20 (65)
Missing	4 (2.5)	—	—
Increased phone data plan			
Yes	28 (17.5)	—	3 (10)
No	128 (80.0)	—	28 (90)
Missing	4 (2.5)	—	—
In-app purchases			
Yes	113 (70.6)	—	—
No	45 (28.1)	—	—
Missing	2 (1.3)	—	—

Table 5. Engagement with Pokémon GO from parent perspective.

Perspective	n (%)
Stopped gameplay (permanent or temporary; n=156)	
Yes	26 (16.3)
No	130 (81.3)
Reason for disengaging from game (permanent or temporary; n=26)	
Bored or lost interest	11 (42)
Too busy	6 (23)
Addiction	2 (8)
Weather (unfavorable)	2 (8)
Completed game or level	2 (8)
Screen time monitoring	1 (4)
Game used too much phone memory	1 (4)
Technical bug	1 (4)
Reason for re-engaging with game (n=156)	
In-app events or updates	30 (57.7)
Child asked	10 (19.2)
Fun, interesting	3 (5.7)
Free time	2 (3.9)
Friends	2 (3.9)
Weather (favorable)	2 (3.9)
Change in work, social circumstance	2 (3.9)
Child punishment over	1 (1.8)

Table 6. Social perspectives of gameplay.

Aspects of gameplay	Parent (n=160), n (%)	Aspects of gameplay	Child (n=31), n (%)
Typical gameplay (check all that apply)			
With a child	97 (60.6)	With a parent	23 (74)
By myself	80 (50.0)	By myself	4 (13)
With family or friends	30 (18.8)	With family or friends	4 (13)
Played game with			
Son	111 (69.4)	Mother	27 (87)
Daughter	59 (36.9)	Sibling	19 (61)
Niece or nephew	41 (25.6)	Father	17 (55)
Grandchild	6 (3.8)	Grandparent	7 (23)
Other	19 (13.1)	Childcare provider	2 (7)
Enjoyed game because			
It helps me spend time with family	122 (76.3)	It helps me spend time with family	24 (77)
It helps me to go outdoors	113 (70.1)	It helps me to go outdoors	20 (65)
It makes regular walks interesting	111 (69.4)	It makes regular walks interesting	0 (0)
I like physical activity	90 (56.3)	I like physical activity	0 (0)
I am a Pokémon fan	48 (30.0)	I am a Pokémon fan	21 (68)
I consider myself a gamer	18 (11.3)	I consider myself a gamer	13 (42)

Table 7. Retrospective physical activity before and after playing Pokémon GO.

Physical activity type	N	Before playing Pokémon GO, mean (SD)	After playing Pokémon GO, mean (SD)	P value
Mild activity (min/day)	—	21.13 (11.72)	26.39 (11.38)	—
Mild activity (min/week)	98	79.59 (68.06)	102.95 (73.50)	.001
Moderate activity (min/day)	—	20.92 (14.11)	25.11 (13.03)	—
Moderate activity (min/week)	131	60.45 (62.82)	82.21 (72.91)	<.001
Strenuous activity (min/day)	—	16.57 (15.49)	18.57 (15.44)	—
Strenuous activity (min/week)	141	48.50 (60.69)	56.00 (66.17)	.03
Leisure score index ^a	160	38.25 (25.84)	48.04 (25.96)	<.001

^aLeisure score index: frequency of each physical activity level × corresponding metabolic equivalent of task value.

Using the Godin-Shepard Leisure-Time Physical Activity Questionnaire, PA of parents was retrospectively evaluated before and after playing Pokémon GO. To account for variations in exercise routines (eg, Monday-Wednesday-Friday), weekly minutes of PA (vs daily) and an overall LSI were used for pairwise comparisons. Pairwise *t* tests compared PA levels before and after playing Pokémon GO. We applied a Bonferroni correction for the multiple comparisons of PA levels and overall LSI (mild PA, moderate PA, strenuous PA, LSI, $P=.01$). We found playing Pokémon GO significantly increased minutes spent in mild and moderate PA per week and overall LSI (Table 7). Although the LSI was relatively high for this sample before gameplay, LSI significantly improved from 67.5% (108/160) to 80.0% (128/160) after gameplay.

Correlations between family characteristics and health variables (parental healthy lifestyle beliefs, parental PA, and child perceptions of parental influences on PA) were assessed. Parents' education level significantly correlated with household income ($r_s=.395$, $P=.03$) and healthy lifestyle beliefs ($r_s=.170$, $P=.03$). These findings indicate that the more educated the parents, the more likely they were to have higher annual income and beliefs in their ability to engage in healthy lifestyle behaviors. However, analysis of parent-child dyadic data showed that parent healthy lifestyle belief scores ($n=30$, $r_s=.242$, $P=.19$) did not correlate with child perceptions of parental influences on PA ($n=31$, mean 30.06 [SD 5.13]). Instead, child perceptions of parental influences on PA significantly correlated with LSI, both before and after Pokémon GO ($n=31$, pre-LSI $r_s=.503$, $P=.004$; post-LSI $r_s=.476$, $P=.007$). Upon closer examination, the most significant association was found between child perceptions of parental influences on PA subscale for active parents and parent-reported minutes per week spent in strenuous PA (pre gameplay $r_s=.389$, $P=.03$; post gameplay $r_s=.447$, $P=.02$). Parent-reported strenuous activity also significantly correlated with child perceptions of parental influences on PA guiding support subscale (pre gameplay $r_s=.361$, $P=.05$; post gameplay $r_s=.378$, $P=.04$). These findings suggest that children are more likely to perceive parental influence on PA if the parent regularly engages in strenuous activity and has supportive rules for PA participation.

Discussion

Principal Findings

Pokémon GO transcended traditional understanding of digital health and uniquely reached across generations to engage users. Analogous to other research exploring interest in health apps [62,63] and Pokémon GO family research [26], our sample tended to be white, educated, and with higher than average household incomes. Although Pokémon GO spurred many conversations and lessons to be learned [24], it is critical to note that a significant portion of families are underrepresented. From our sample, it is evident that families with young children are co-users of technology. Largely consistent with previous Pokémon GO research [26,30,32-34], our findings show that for stints in time, Pokémon GO promoted physical and social well-being. Findings are presented per the following study aims: (1) to explore the Pokémon GO user experience from a family perspective and (2) to investigate Pokémon GO within the context of family health.

User Experience

Findings are presented following facets of the user experience honeycomb (ie, usability, adaptability, accessibility, desirability, and value) [54,64]. Ease of use or usability refers to the ease with which users can complete their intended task using a product [61]. For example, Pokémon GO was able to reach a broad audience by designing a free game for use on mobile devices (opposed to requiring users to purchase a specific gaming device). In the United States, approximately three-quarters of all Americans own a smartphone [65]. Similarly, Pokémon GO was and continues to be available across Android and iOS platforms. Yet, as a freemium game (ie, where additional features may be purchased) Pokémon GO consumed up to 50% of mobile phone-based micro transactions shortly after release [66]. We found more than 70% of parents reported in-app purchases. This was an unanticipated finding and follow-up questions regarding specific purchases were not part of the initial survey. However, our findings suggest that usability in children or family units may differ than that in individual or adult populations. We found that parents leveraged gameplay to punish or reward child behavior. For instance, parents reported, "We will use a Pokémon excursion to the zoo or a park to reward good behavior" or "Planned day outings...based

on where the nest sites are.” Similarly, a child’s usability may be influenced by parental monitoring as young children are less likely to have their own mobile phone or have freedoms related to in-app purchases. In other Pokémon GO family research, parents believed that gameplay was associated with danger and threatened safety [26,48]. Thus, in families, individual gameplay particularly for young children may be linked to interpersonal relationships and influence usability.

Elements of emotional design (behavioral, reflective, and visceral) [67] that invoke emotional reaction to material objects should be considered part of the user experience [54]. *Desirability* refers to the power of a brand or image and is dependent on a user’s context [54,61]. Through collectable cards, games, books, and animated television series launched in 1996 in Japan, Pokémon remains one of the world’s most popular entities [68]. Yet, in our sample, over 40% of parents (69/160, 43.1%) never engaged with the Pokémon brand before Pokémon GO. Conversely, children identified with the Pokémon brand through television shows (28/31, 90%) and card collecting (23/31, 74%). In our sample, gameplay was most often between a child aged 10 years or younger and a parent. During middle childhood, children’s connections with their parents and family are of tremendous importance for their social and emotional well-being [69]. Although not longitudinally collected, parents’ responses to initial uptake of Pokémon GO signaled positive emotion associated with gameplay, “I love the smile my daughter gets when she catches a Pokémon,” “It is a great way to spend time with kids and their friends,” and “It is exciting to walk together and share.” Research shows that parents more directly influence learning when they choose to engage in activities with their children [23], and play, even digital play, helps families learn and connect [70,71]. Six conditions that lead to productive JME include mutual engagement; dialogic inquiry (inspire collaboration with others); cocreation (use media to build things); boundary crossing (span time and setting); intention to develop (at least one partner aims to grow through the activity); and focus on content not control (jockeying for control are kept to a minimum) [23]. In addition to these 6 conditions, Sobel et al [26] found Pokémon GO had other qualities that encouraged productive JME. Pokémon GO hinged on going outdoors, walking, and working in teams; gameplay relied on dynamic outdoor context; gameplay facilitated social connections outside of the family; and gameplay could be shared differently depending on how parents want to participate [26].

Explosive contagion *going viral* occurs when the transmission of a phenomenon becomes strong enough to overcome reluctance [72]. The spread of social phenomena mimics situations in which the willingness of individuals to adopt something new depends not only on the intrinsic value but also on whether acquaintances will adopt this product or not [72]. Findings from adult literature showed that when participants perceived strong social pressure from people around them, they were likely to play Pokémon GO while walking [35]. Individuals are embedded in social contexts; therefore, interpersonal and social processes are recognized as powerful levers for behavior change [73]. Likewise, a product is likely to be adopted if it is *accessible*. Although Pokémon GO was free to download, research has suggested that minority populations faced greater

challenges playing Pokémon GO due to incentivized gameplay toward advantaged areas and away from rural places and places with larger minority populations [74,75]. Thus, ethical design must develop at an early stage and consider digital divide, equity, privacy, and autonomy [76].

Aligning product features with user needs drives a product’s *value*. Foremost, our findings reinforce previous evidence [26,34,48] that Pokémon GO provided an opportunity to support family values and fostered family bonding. The challenge of balancing work, life, and parenting responsibilities creates a uniquely stressed situation for parents [77-79]. Time, as a commodity, is extremely valuable to parents [80]. Recognizing the interaction between personal characteristics and situational factors, identity-based motivation (IBM) bridges psychological and social literature to facilitate integration with goal theories [81]. IBM assumes that identities are dynamically constructed in context and people are more likely to take action if something is identity-congruent [81,82]. The challenge of leveraging identity is that the same attribute can be motivating or demotivating within context, depending on the meaning and interpretation of difficulty [83]. Decisions and behaviors are often the result of goals and motives people possess [84]. Activating a goal can influence many aspects of behavior, including how people perceive, evaluate, and feel about the world around them [84]. The number of means attached to a given goal vary, and conversely, so may the number of goals attached to a given mean [85]. For example, in our sample, playing Pokémon GO served multiple goals through a single mean. Pokémon GO served the 3 most cited parent goals: have fun, spend more or quality time with their children, and get more exercise. Multifinality set denotes the number of goals linked to a mean, which may affect the perceived value of the mean or *bang for the buck* [85]. For a period of time, Pokémon GO was an extremely efficient use of time for families. However, goal systems are highly variable and context-dependent [85]. A different set of goals to the same mean may change in different context or circumstances [85]. Differently resourced families have different time, energy, and budget available for supporting media in a media-rich world competing for our attention [18,23]. Pokémon GO was able to re-engage nearly 60% of users who stopped the game using novelty via in-app events and updates. In our study, as context and circumstances changed over time (eg, weather and work schedules), gameplay became less *desirable* to some families. When specifically asked about re-engagement, for some, open-ended comments swayed negative, “My obsessed son,” “My kid’s bugging me about it,” and “I don’t always want to be on my phone or my son to be on my phone.” This highlights heterogeneity not only between families but also within families that can occur over time. Therefore, opposed to sustained engagement, research using digital technologies to support health should focus on effective engagement, sufficient to achieve intended outcomes [86], particularly in family interventions.

Family Health

Our findings support previous research [30,36,38], showing that when played as designed, Pokémon GO was associated with increases in PA. We found significant improvements in

mild and moderate PA after Pokémon GO uptake, with the largest gains observed in mild activity (approximately 23.36 min/week). In addition, 20 participants (20/160, 12.5%) shifted from being classified as *insufficiently active* to *active* after playing Pokémon GO. We believe that part of Pokémon GO's success as a health app was the stealth health approach accomplished through gameplay. Stealth health is an intervention approach where the target is a side effect but not the primary motivator of participation (also a common gamification technique) [87]. Using this approach, behavior change occurs by shaping existing situations (eg, relaxing after dinner by watching television) into preferred situations (eg, relaxing after dinner by playing a location-based game).

Research conducted in college students show that variables from the theory of planned behavior (attitude, subjective norms, and perceived behavioral control) were significant predictors of behavioral intentions for gameplay [33,35]. Behavior change techniques (BCTs) are theoretically derived behavioral determinants identified for a given target [9]. There is empirical support favoring interventions that incorporate BCTs to increase self-efficacy and PA versus comparator [10,12,88,89]. As such, we found the following BCTs used in Pokémon GO: feedback and monitoring, reward and threat, goals and planning, shaping knowledge, and social support. The same BCTs found in Pokémon GO are also common to both gamification techniques [90-93] and pediatric health promotion apps [87,94,95]. Across BCT literature [10-12,88,89], planning is commonly used to increase self-efficacy, and self-monitoring is commonly used to increase PA. Although self-efficacy has been associated with PA behavior change [12,96], the influence of parental self-efficacy on PA evidence of a child is inconclusive [60,97]. In our study, parental beliefs toward healthy lifestyles did not correlate with child perceptions of parental support for PA. Rather, we found that parental behaviors, particularly strenuous PA, associated with child perceptions of parental support for PA. In a systematic review of mobile apps, role modeling was the only predictor of PA in children aged 6 to 13 years [95]. Other research demonstrates that perceived parental norms and role modeling are associated with healthy lifestyle behaviors in school-aged children [2,98,99], particularly influencing time spent outdoors [99].

Limitations and Future Research

Limitations of this study should be noted. The study design and convenience sample used weaken the strength of the study. We were unable to determine comparisons by child age (eg, <10 years vs ≥10 years) or gender because of limited distributions. Survey development and use of the game were conducted by 1 individual on the project; a diverse set of inputs would

strengthen future research. Findings presented here reflect the lag time between academia and health relative to the pace of technology. The convenience sample data were collected 6 months after the initial release of Pokémon GO and skewed toward educated white populations. Research is needed to advance the real-world implementation of digital health interventions [22,100]. Specifically, research is needed to understand how all different types of families use media together, use competing technologies, and create equitable opportunities for JME in resource-limited families [23,101]. JME presented here is within the context of parent-child. Yet, JME is a much broader concept to include digital learning across individuals, including peer, sibling, and family. We briefly explored these relationships, discovering that 61% (19/31) of children reported playing Pokémon GO with a sibling. Finally, data were collected through self-report, and changes in PA were retrospective self-report, which may introduce recall bias and social desirability.

Conclusions

We believe this research extends the science by highlighting the Pokémon GO user experience and within the context of family health. Our findings parallel other research [102-104] suggesting that popular *pop* culture may be leveraged to promote health. However, gender (or gender neutral) associations may exist with different brands. As technology becomes more ubiquitous, equity concerns persist for reasons that transcend mere access to these tools [23,101]. Recognizing families as co-users of technology, particularly in families with children aged 5 to 10 years, digitally supported behavior change interventions that incorporate JME strategies should reflect the child's developmental stage and the dynamic nature of media use within the family. Within the ever-changing context of family life, we found a balance between the stability of family connectedness and everyday life. Our findings further suggest that Pokémon GO's success with children and families may be attributed to how gameplay became entwined with and strengthened important family values, while stealthily serving multiple other goals. In busy families, the efficiency of Pokémon GO justified gameplay. Although parents are traditionally recognized as agents of change for family health [2], findings from this study suggest that children aged 10 years or younger may serve as reciprocal agents of change by promoting engagement with digital health interventions. Pokémon GO underscored the potential for digital health, demonstrating that a single app can touch the lives of millions. With the anticipated release of Niantic's Harry Potter AR game in 2018 [105], another opportunity to broaden the science in children and families appears on the horizon.

Acknowledgments

The authors would like to thank the Sigma Theta Tau International, Epsilon Chapter. The authors would also like to thank the Pokémon GO collaborative, Eric Hekler, Deborah Lin, Ken Fujita, Alex Biel, and Niantic, for the game, the chance to explore, and the family time.

Conflicts of Interest

None declared.

References

1. Liu Y, Croft JB, Wheaton AG, Kanny D, Cunningham TJ, Lu H, et al. Clustering of five health-related behaviors for chronic disease prevention among adults, United States, 2013. *Prev Chronic Dis* 2016 Dec 26;13:E70 [FREE Full text] [doi: [10.5888/pcd13.160054](https://doi.org/10.5888/pcd13.160054)] [Medline: [27236381](https://pubmed.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/27236381/)]
2. Faith MS, Van Horn L, Appel LJ, Burke LE, Carson JA, Franch HA, American Heart Association Nutrition and Obesity Committees of the Council on Nutrition, Physical Activity and Metabolism, Council on Clinical Cardiology, Council on Cardiovascular Disease in the Young, Council on Cardiovascular Nursing, Council on Epidemiology and Prevention, Council on the Kidney in Cardiovascular Disease. Evaluating parents and adult caregivers as “agents of change” for treating obese children: evidence for parent behavior change strategies and research gaps: a scientific statement from the American Heart Association. *Circulation* 2012 Mar 6;125(9):1186-1207. [doi: [10.1161/CIR.0b013e31824607ee](https://doi.org/10.1161/CIR.0b013e31824607ee)] [Medline: [22271754](https://pubmed.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/22271754/)]
3. Pina LR, Sien SW, Ward T, Yip JC, Munson SA, Fogarty J, et al. From Personal Informatics to Family Informatics: Understanding Family Practices Around Health Monitoring. *Proc 2017 ACM Conf Comput Support Coop Work Soc Comput Internet*. In: Proceedings of the 2017 ACM Conference on Computer Supported Cooperative Work and Social Computing. USA: ACM; 2017 Presented at: ACM Conference on Computer Supported Cooperative Work and Social Computing; February 25-March 01, 2017; Portland, Oregon, USA p. 2300-2315. [doi: [10.1145/2998181.2998362](https://doi.org/10.1145/2998181.2998362)]
4. Silventoinen K, Rokholm B, Kaprio J, Sørensen TI. The genetic and environmental influences on childhood obesity: a systematic review of twin and adoption studies. *Int J Obes (Lond)* 2010 Jan;34(1):29-40. [doi: [10.1038/ijo.2009.177](https://doi.org/10.1038/ijo.2009.177)] [Medline: [19752881](https://pubmed.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/19752881/)]
5. Bridgett DJ, Burt NM, Edwards ES, Deater-Deckard K. Intergenerational transmission of self-regulation: a multidisciplinary review and integrative conceptual framework. *Psychol Bull* 2015 May;141(3):602-654 [FREE Full text] [doi: [10.1037/a0038662](https://doi.org/10.1037/a0038662)] [Medline: [25938878](https://pubmed.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/25938878/)]
6. Murray DW, Rosanbalm K, Chritopoulos C. Washington, DC, USA: Office of Planning, Research and Evaluation, Administration for Children and Families, US Department of Health and Human Services; 2016 Feb. Self-Regulation and Toxic Stress Report 3: A Comprehensive Review of Self-Regulation Interventions from Birth Through Young Adulthood URL: <https://www.acf.hhs.gov/opre/resource/self-regulation-and-toxic-stress-report-3> [accessed 2018-09-12] [WebCite Cache ID 72N19LZf4]
7. Wittkowski A, Garrett C, Calam R, Weisberg D. Self-report measures of parental self-efficacy: a systematic review of the current literature. *J Child Fam Stud* 2017;26(11):2960-2978 [FREE Full text] [doi: [10.1007/s10826-017-0830-5](https://doi.org/10.1007/s10826-017-0830-5)] [Medline: [29081640](https://pubmed.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/29081640/)]
8. Larsen KR, Michie S, Hekler EB, Gibson B, Spruijt-Metz D, Ahern D, et al. Behavior change interventions: the potential of ontologies for advancing science and practice. *J Behav Med* 2017 Feb;40(1):6-22. [doi: [10.1007/s10865-016-9768-0](https://doi.org/10.1007/s10865-016-9768-0)] [Medline: [27481101](https://pubmed.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/27481101/)]
9. Michie S, Johnston M, Francis J, Hardeman W, Eccles M. From theory to intervention: mapping theoretically derived behavioural determinants to behaviour change techniques. *Appl Psychol* 2008 Oct;57(4):660-680. [doi: [10.1111/j.1464-0597.2008.00341.x](https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1464-0597.2008.00341.x)]
10. Martin J, Chater A, Lorenatto F. Effective behaviour change techniques in the prevention and management of childhood obesity. *Int J Obes (Lond)* 2013 Oct;37(10):1287-1294. [doi: [10.1038/ijo.2013.107](https://doi.org/10.1038/ijo.2013.107)] [Medline: [23756676](https://pubmed.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/23756676/)]
11. McDermott MS, Oliver M, Iverson D, Sharma R. Effective techniques for changing physical activity and healthy eating intentions and behaviour: a systematic review and meta-analysis. *Br J Health Psychol* 2016 Nov;21(4):827-841. [doi: [10.1111/bjhp.12199](https://doi.org/10.1111/bjhp.12199)] [Medline: [27193530](https://pubmed.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/27193530/)]
12. Olander EK, Fletcher H, Williams S, Atkinson L, Turner A, French DP. What are the most effective techniques in changing obese individuals' physical activity self-efficacy and behaviour: a systematic review and meta-analysis. *Int J Behav Nutr Phys Act* 2013;10:29 [FREE Full text] [doi: [10.1186/1479-5868-10-29](https://doi.org/10.1186/1479-5868-10-29)] [Medline: [23452345](https://pubmed.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/23452345/)]
13. Williams SL, French DP. What are the most effective intervention techniques for changing physical activity self-efficacy and physical activity behaviour--and are they the same? *Health Educ Res* 2011 Apr;26(2):308-322 [FREE Full text] [doi: [10.1093/her/cyr005](https://doi.org/10.1093/her/cyr005)] [Medline: [21321008](https://pubmed.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/21321008/)]
14. Hekler EB, Michie S, Pavel M, Rivera DE, Collins LM, Jimison HB, et al. Advancing models and theories for digital behavior change interventions. *Am J Prev Med* 2016 Nov;51(5):825-832. [doi: [10.1016/j.amepre.2016.06.013](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.amepre.2016.06.013)] [Medline: [27745682](https://pubmed.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/27745682/)]
15. Jameson JL, Longo DL. Precision medicine--personalized, problematic, and promising. *N Engl J Med* 2015 Jun 4;372(23):2229-2234. [doi: [10.1056/NEJMs1503104](https://doi.org/10.1056/NEJMs1503104)] [Medline: [26014593](https://pubmed.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/26014593/)]
16. Nahum-Shani I, Smith SN, Spring BJ, Collins LM, Witkiewitz K, Tewari A, et al. Just-in-time adaptive interventions (JITAs) in mobile health: key components and design principles for ongoing health behavior support. *Ann Behav Med* 2018 May 18;52(6):446-462. [doi: [10.1007/s12160-016-9830-8](https://doi.org/10.1007/s12160-016-9830-8)] [Medline: [27663578](https://pubmed.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/27663578/)]
17. Pew Research Center Internet & Technology. 2018 Feb 5. Mobile Fact Sheet URL: <http://www.pewinternet.org/fact-sheet/mobile/> [accessed 2017-01-26] [WebCite Cache ID 6nnsZpARN]
18. Rideout V. Joan Ganz Cooney Center. 2014 Jan 24. Learning at Home: Families' Educational Media Use in America URL: <http://www.joanganzcooneycenter.org/publication/learning-at-home/> [accessed 2018-09-11] [WebCite Cache ID 72MCUm9j5]

19. Robb M. Joan Ganz Cooney Center. 2017 Oct 19. More Mobile, Fewer Divides: New Common Sense Study Tracks Kids Media Use from 2011 to 2017 URL: <https://tinyurl.com/y7rome7m> [accessed 2018-09-12] [WebCite Cache ID 72Mmu4W9x]
20. Common Sense Media. 2017. The Common Sense Census: Media Use by Kids Age Zero to Eight 2017 URL: <https://www.common Sense Media.org/research/the-common-sense-census-media-use-by-kids-age-zero-to-eight-2017> [accessed 2018-08-14] [WebCite Cache ID 71fsqpf0]
21. Spruijt-Metz D, Hekler E, Saranummi N, Intille S, Korhonen I, Nilsen W, et al. Building new computational models to support health behavior change and maintenance: new opportunities in behavioral research. *Transl Behav Med* 2015 Sep;5(3):335-346 [FREE Full text] [doi: [10.1007/s13142-015-0324-1](https://doi.org/10.1007/s13142-015-0324-1)] [Medline: [26327939](https://pubmed.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/26327939/)]
22. Mohr DC, Riper H, Schueller SM. A solution-focused research approach to achieve an implementable revolution in digital mental health. *J Am Med Assoc Psychiatry* 2018 Feb 1;75(2):113-114. [doi: [10.1001/jamapsychiatry.2017.3838](https://doi.org/10.1001/jamapsychiatry.2017.3838)] [Medline: [29238805](https://pubmed.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/29238805/)]
23. Takeuchi L, Stevens R. Joan Ganz Cooney Center.: The Joan Ganz Cooney Center at Sesame Street Workshop and LIFE Center; 2011 Dec 8. The New Coviewing: designing for learning through joint media engagement URL: <http://joanganzcooneycenter.org/publication/the-new-coviewing-designing-for-learning-through-joint-media-engagement/> [accessed 2018-09-12] [WebCite Cache ID 72MnE9MRj]
24. Baranowski T. Pokémon Go, go, go, gone? *Games Health J* 2016 Aug 15. [doi: [10.1089/g4h.2016.01055.tbp](https://doi.org/10.1089/g4h.2016.01055.tbp)] [Medline: [27525347](https://pubmed.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/27525347/)]
25. Tassi P. Forbes. 2017 Apr 5. Believe It or Not, 'Pokemon GO' has 65 Million Monthly Active Players URL: <https://www.forbes.com/sites/insertcoin/2017/04/05/believe-it-or-not-pokemon-go-has-65-million-monthly-active-players/#4752bc1d121d> [accessed 2018-09-11] [WebCite Cache ID 72MDeqNjG]
26. Sobel K, Bhattacharya A, Hiniker A, Lee JH, Kientz JA, Yip JC. It wasn't really about the Pokémon: parents' perspectives on a location-based mobile game. In: *Proceedings of the 2017 CHI Conference on Human Factors in Computing Systems*. USA: ACM; 2017 Presented at: CHI '17; May 06-11, 2017; Denver, Colorado, USA p. 1483-1496. [doi: [10.1145/3025453.3025761](https://doi.org/10.1145/3025453.3025761)]
27. Pokémon GO Support. 2018. Pokémon GO URL: <https://support.pokemongo.nianticlabs.com/hc/en-us> [accessed 2017-08-18] [WebCite Cache ID 6so5nUDTq]
28. Baranowski T, Abdelsamad D, Baranowski J, O'Connor TM, Thompson D, Barnett A, et al. Impact of an active video game on healthy children's physical activity. *Pediatrics* 2012 Mar;129(3):e636-e642 [FREE Full text] [doi: [10.1542/peds.2011-2050](https://doi.org/10.1542/peds.2011-2050)] [Medline: [22371457](https://pubmed.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/22371457/)]
29. Barnett A, Cerin E, Baranowski T. Active video games for youth: a systematic review. *J Phys Act Health* 2011 Jul;8(5):724-737. [Medline: [21734319](https://pubmed.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/21734319/)]
30. Althoff T, White RW, Horvitz E. Influence of Pokémon Go on physical activity: study and Implications. *J Med Internet Res* 2016 Dec 6;18(12):e315 [FREE Full text] [doi: [10.2196/jmir.6759](https://doi.org/10.2196/jmir.6759)] [Medline: [27923778](https://pubmed.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/27923778/)]
31. Barkley JE, Lepp A, Glickman EL. "Pokémon Go!" may promote walking, discourage sedentary behavior in college students. *Games Health J* 2017 Jun;6(3):165-170. [doi: [10.1089/g4h.2017.0009](https://doi.org/10.1089/g4h.2017.0009)] [Medline: [28628384](https://pubmed.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/28628384/)]
32. Howe KB, Suharlim C, Ueda P, Howe D, Kawachi I, Rimm EB. Gotta catch'em all! Pokémon GO and physical activity among young adults: difference in differences study. *Br Med J* 2016 Dec 13;355:i6270 [FREE Full text] [Medline: [27965211](https://pubmed.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/27965211/)]
33. Kaczmarek LD, Misiak M, Behnke M, Dziekan M, Guzik P. The Pikachu effect: social and health gaming motivations lead to greater benefits of Pokémon GO use. *Comput Human Behav* 2017 Oct;75:356-363. [doi: [10.1016/j.chb.2017.05.031](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.chb.2017.05.031)]
34. Kogan L, Hellyer P, Duncan C, Schoenfeld-Tacher R. A pilot investigation of the physical and psychological benefits of playing Pokémon GO for dog owners. *Comput Human Behav* 2017 Nov;76:431-437. [doi: [10.1016/j.chb.2017.07.043](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.chb.2017.07.043)]
35. Koh HE, Oh J, Mackert M. Predictors of playing augmented reality mobile games while walking based on the theory of planned behavior: web-based survey. *JMIR Mhealth Uhealth* 2017 Dec 11;5(12):e191 [FREE Full text] [doi: [10.2196/mhealth.8470](https://doi.org/10.2196/mhealth.8470)] [Medline: [29229586](https://pubmed.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/29229586/)]
36. Liu W, Ligmann-Zielinska A. A pilot study of Pokémon Go and players' physical activity. *Games Health J* 2017 Dec;6(6):343-350. [doi: [10.1089/g4h.2017.0036](https://doi.org/10.1089/g4h.2017.0036)] [Medline: [28853912](https://pubmed.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/28853912/)]
37. Marquet O, Alberico C, Adlakha D, Hipp JA. Examining motivations to play Pokémon GO and their influence on perceived outcomes and physical activity. *JMIR Serious Games* 2017 Oct 24;5(4):e21 [FREE Full text] [doi: [10.2196/games.8048](https://doi.org/10.2196/games.8048)] [Medline: [29066423](https://pubmed.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/29066423/)]
38. Nigg CR, Mateo DJ, An J. Pokémon GO may increase physical activity and decrease sedentary behaviors. *Am J Public Health* 2017 Jan;107(1):37-38. [doi: [10.2105/AJPH.2016.303532](https://doi.org/10.2105/AJPH.2016.303532)] [Medline: [27854536](https://pubmed.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/27854536/)]
39. Rasche P, Schlomann A, Mertens A. Who is still playing Pokémon Go? A web-based survey. *JMIR Serious Games* 2017 Apr 5;5(2):e7 [FREE Full text] [doi: [10.2196/games.7197](https://doi.org/10.2196/games.7197)] [Medline: [28381393](https://pubmed.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/28381393/)]
40. Wong FY. Influence of Pokémon Go on physical activity levels of university players: a cross-sectional study. *Int J Health Geogr* 2017 Feb 22;16(1):8 [FREE Full text] [doi: [10.1186/s12942-017-0080-1](https://doi.org/10.1186/s12942-017-0080-1)] [Medline: [28228102](https://pubmed.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/28228102/)]
41. Griffiths M. Gamasutra. 2016 Jan 8. 10 Psychosocial reasons Pokemon go is so appealing URL: http://www.gamasutra.com/blogs/MarkGriffiths/20160801/278248/10_psychosocial_reasons_why_Pokmon_Go_is_so_appealing.php [accessed 2016-10-25] [WebCite Cache ID 72Mnw56qb]

42. Joseph B. Clalliance. 2016 Jul 14. The Secret Sauce in Pokémon Go: Big Data URL: <https://clalliance.org/blog/secret-sauce-pokemon-go/> [accessed 2018-09-12] [WebCite Cache ID 72MnyLTWw]
43. McCartney M. Margaret McCartney: game on for Pokémon Go. *Br Med J* 2016 Aug 09;354:i4306. [doi: [10.1136/bmj.i4306](https://doi.org/10.1136/bmj.i4306)]
44. Parkinson JA. Self Determination Theory. 2016 Aug 11. The Conversation: How Pokemon Go turned couch potatoes into fitness fanatics without them even realising it URL: <https://tinyurl.com/y7dj5fjd/> [accessed 2018-09-12] [WebCite Cache ID 72MnzPwVH]
45. Tateno M, Skokauskas N, Kato TA, Teo AR, Guerrero AP. New game software (Pokémon Go) may help youth with severe social withdrawal, hikikomori. *Psychiatry Res* 2016 Dec 30;246:848-849 [FREE Full text] [doi: [10.1016/j.psychres.2016.10.038](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.psychres.2016.10.038)] [Medline: [27817905](https://pubmed.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/27817905/)]
46. Fountaine CJ, Springer EJ, Sward JR. A descriptive study of objectively measured Pokémon GO playtime in college students. *Int J Exerc Sci* 2018;11(7):526-532 [FREE Full text] [Medline: [29541340](https://pubmed.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/29541340/)]
47. Xian Y, Xu H, Xu H, Liang L, Hernandez AF, Wang TY, et al. An initial evaluation of the impact of Pokémon GO on physical activity. *J Am Heart Assoc* 2017 May 16;6(5) [FREE Full text] [doi: [10.1161/JAHA.116.005341](https://doi.org/10.1161/JAHA.116.005341)] [Medline: [28512111](https://pubmed.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/28512111/)]
48. Lindqvist AK, Castelli D, Hallberg J, Rutberg S. The praise and price of Pokémon GO: a qualitative study of children's and parents' experiences. *JMIR Serious Games* 2018 Jan 3;6(1):e1 [FREE Full text] [doi: [10.2196/games.8979](https://doi.org/10.2196/games.8979)] [Medline: [29298750](https://pubmed.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/29298750/)]
49. Ruiz-Ariza A, Casuso RA, Suarez-Manzano S, Martínez-López EJ. Effect of augmented reality game Pokémon GO on cognitive performance and emotional intelligence in adolescent young. *Comput Educ* 2018 Jan;116:49-63. [doi: [10.1016/j.compedu.2017.09.002](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.compedu.2017.09.002)]
50. Perski O, Blandford A, West R, Michie S. Conceptualising engagement with digital behaviour change interventions: a systematic review using principles from critical interpretive synthesis. *Transl Behav Med* 2016 Jun;7(2):254-267. [doi: [10.1007/s13142-016-0453-1](https://doi.org/10.1007/s13142-016-0453-1)] [Medline: [27966189](https://pubmed.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/27966189/)]
51. Eysenbach G. Improving the quality of Web surveys: the Checklist for Reporting Results of Internet E-Surveys (CHERRIES). *J Med Internet Res* 2004 Dec 29;6(3):e34 [FREE Full text] [doi: [10.2196/jmir.6.3.e34](https://doi.org/10.2196/jmir.6.3.e34)] [Medline: [15471760](https://pubmed.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/15471760/)]
52. Geertz C. NYBooks. 1998 Oct 22. Deep Hanging Out URL: <https://www.nybooks.com/articles/1998/10/22/deep-hanging-out/> [accessed 2018-08-02] [WebCite Cache ID 72MoukVcw]
53. Klemmer S. Coursera. Human-Centered Design: an Introduction URL: <https://www.coursera.org/learn/human-computer-interaction> [accessed 2018-09-12] [WebCite Cache ID 72MoxKJeb]
54. Morville P. Semantic Studios. 2004 Jun 21. User Experience Design URL: http://semanticstudios.com/user_experience_design/ [accessed 2015-06-02] [WebCite Cache ID 6YzZyjidp]
55. Melnyk BM, Small L, Morrison-Beedy D, Strasser A, Spath L, Kreipe R, et al. Mental health correlates of healthy lifestyle attitudes, beliefs, choices & behaviors in overweight teens. *J Pediatr Health Care* 2006;20(6):401-406. [doi: [10.1016/j.pedhc.2006.03.004](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.pedhc.2006.03.004)]
56. Militello L, Melnyk BM, Hekler EB, Small L, Jacobson D. Automated behavioral text messaging and face-to-face intervention for parents of overweight or obese preschool children: results from a pilot study. *JMIR Mhealth Uhealth* 2016 Mar 14;4(1):e21 [FREE Full text] [doi: [10.2196/mhealth.4398](https://doi.org/10.2196/mhealth.4398)] [Medline: [26976387](https://pubmed.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/26976387/)]
57. Godin G, Shephard RJ. A simple method to assess exercise behavior in the community. *Can J Appl Sport Sci* 1985 Sep;10(3):141-146. [Medline: [4053261](https://pubmed.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/4053261/)]
58. Amireault S, Godin G, Lacombe J, Sabiston CM. The use of the Godin-Shephard Leisure-Time Physical Activity Questionnaire in oncology research: a systematic review. *BMC Med Res Methodol* 2015 Aug 12;15:60 [FREE Full text] [doi: [10.1186/s12874-015-0045-7](https://doi.org/10.1186/s12874-015-0045-7)] [Medline: [26264621](https://pubmed.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/26264621/)]
59. Jago R, Davison KK, Brockman R, Page AS, Thompson JL, Fox KR. Parenting styles, parenting practices, and physical activity in 10- to 11-year olds. *Prev Med* 2011 Jan;52(1):44-47 [FREE Full text] [doi: [10.1016/j.ypmed.2010.11.001](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ypmed.2010.11.001)] [Medline: [21070805](https://pubmed.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/21070805/)]
60. Jago R, Fox KR, Page AS, Brockman R, Thompson JL. Development of scales to assess children's perceptions of friend and parental influences on physical activity. *Int J Behav Nutr Phys Act* 2009 Oct 12;6:67 [FREE Full text] [doi: [10.1186/1479-5868-6-67](https://doi.org/10.1186/1479-5868-6-67)] [Medline: [19821970](https://pubmed.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/19821970/)]
61. Guo F. UXMatters. 2012 Apr 24. More Than Usability: The Four Elements of User Experience, Part I URL: <https://www.uxmatters.com/mt/archives/2012/04/more-than-usability-the-four-elements-of-user-experience-part-i.php> [accessed 2018-05-29] [WebCite Cache ID 72MKGnH0o]
62. Fox S. Pew Internet. 2013 Mar 20. Tracking for Health: Detailed Demographics URL: <http://www.pewinternet.org/2013/03/20/tracking-for-health-detailed-demographics/> [accessed 2018-09-12] [WebCite Cache ID 72MpVD1gD]
63. Schueller SM, Neary M, O'Loughlin K, Adkins EC. Discovery of and interest in health apps among those with mental health needs: survey and focus group study. *J Med Internet Res* 2018 Jun 11;20(6):e10141 [FREE Full text] [doi: [10.2196/10141](https://doi.org/10.2196/10141)] [Medline: [29891468](https://pubmed.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/29891468/)]
64. Norman D, Nielsen J. NNGroup. 2018. The Definition of User Experience (UX) URL: <https://www.nngroup.com/articles/definition-user-experience/> [accessed 2017-11-23] [WebCite Cache ID 6vB6UXO0S]

65. Rainie L, Perrin A. Pew Research. 2017 Jun 28. 10 facts about smartphones as the iPhone turns 10 URL: <http://www.pewresearch.org/fact-tank/2017/06/28/10-facts-about-smartphones/> [accessed 2017-08-13] [WebCite Cache ID 6sh7M77Xs]
66. Jeffery M. GameRant. 2016. Pokemon GO Microtransactions Take Up Almost 50% of Daily Mobile Purchases URL: <https://gamerant.com/pokemon-go-microtransaction-profit-751/> [accessed 2018-02-03] [WebCite Cache ID 72MpiYAfO]
67. Norman D. Emotional Design: Why We Love (or Hate) Everyday Things. New York: Basic Books; 2004.
68. Pokémon. 2018. Explore the World of Pokémon URL: <http://www.pokemon.com/us/> [accessed 2010-04-08] [WebCite Cache ID 5opvvyv1HY]
69. Morelli AS, Dombek M. 2018. Child Development Theory: Middle Childhood (8-11) URL: http://www.mhssso.org/poc/center_index.php?cn=1272 [accessed 2018-03-10] [WebCite Cache ID 72MqHosLp]
70. Schrier K. Joan Ganz Cooney Center. 2018 Mar 12. Connecting Across Worlds: How Empathy and Play Can Support Connection URL: <https://tinyurl.com/yb5v6nxf> [accessed 2018-09-11] [WebCite Cache ID 72ML09au1]
71. Siyahhan S, Gee E. Families at Play: Connecting and Learning through Video Games. Cambridge, MA: The MIT Press; 2018:216.
72. Gómez-Gardeñes J, Lotero L, Taraskin SN, Pérez-Reche FJ. Explosive contagion in networks. Sci Rep 2016 Jan 28;6:19767 [FREE Full text] [doi: [10.1038/srep19767](https://doi.org/10.1038/srep19767)] [Medline: [26819191](https://pubmed.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/26819191/)]
73. Nielsen L, Riddle M, King JW, NIH Science of Behavior Change Implementation Team, Aklin WM, Chen W, et al. The NIH Science of Behavior Change Program: transforming the science through a focus on mechanisms of change. Behav Res Ther 2018 Feb;101:3-11 [FREE Full text] [doi: [10.1016/j.brat.2017.07.002](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.brat.2017.07.002)] [Medline: [29110885](https://pubmed.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/29110885/)]
74. Colley A, Thebault-Spieker J, Lin AY, Degraen D, Fischman B, Häkikilä J, et al. The Geography of Pokémon GO: Beneficial and Problematic Effects on Places and Movement. In: Proceedings of the 2017 CHI Conference. USA: ACM; 2017 Presented at: CHI Conference on Human Factors in Computing Systems; May 2017; Denver, Colorado, USA p. 1179-1192 URL: <https://dl.acm.org/citation.cfm?id=3025495> [doi: [10.1145/3025453.3025495](https://doi.org/10.1145/3025453.3025495)]
75. Kooragayala S, Srinu T. Urban Institute. 2016. Pokémon GO is changing how cities use public space, but could it be more inclusive? URL: <https://tinyurl.com/y9ye8ybf> [accessed 2017-04-20] [WebCite Cache ID 72Mr42vPq]
76. Nathan G. Design thinking approach to ethical (responsible) innovation. In: Gianni R, Pearson J, Reber B, editors. Responsible Research and Innovation: From Concepts to Practices. Abingdon, United Kingdom: Routledge; 2018.
77. Deater-Deckard K. Parenting Stress (Current Perspectives in Psychology). New Haven, CT: Yale University Press; 2014.
78. National Public Radio, Robert Wood Johnson Foundation, Harvard School of Public Health. 2013. A Poll About Children and Weight (Cracking Down on Crunch Time) URL: <https://www.rwjf.org/en/library/research/2013/02/a-poll-about-children-and-weight.html> [accessed 2014-01-27] [WebCite Cache ID 72MrAomSS]
79. Pew Research Center. 2015 Nov 4. Raising Kids and Running a Household: How Working Parents Share the Load URL: <http://www.pewsocialtrends.org/2015/11/04/raising-kids-and-running-a-household-how-working-parents-share-the-load/> [accessed 2018-09-12] [WebCite Cache ID 72MrEGuj3]
80. Pew Research Center. 2015 May 17. Parenting in America: Outlook, worries, aspirations are strongly linked to financial situation URL: <http://www.pewsocialtrends.org/2015/12/17/parenting-in-america/> [accessed 2018-03-07] [WebCite Cache ID 72MrFjs3t]
81. Oyserman D, Destin M. Identity-based motivation: implications for intervention. Couns Psychol 2010 Oct;38(7):1001-1043 [FREE Full text] [doi: [10.1177/0011000010374775](https://doi.org/10.1177/0011000010374775)] [Medline: [21516204](https://pubmed.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/21516204/)]
82. Oyserman D, Fryberg SA, Yoder N. Identity-based motivation and health. J Pers Soc Psychol 2007 Dec;93(6):1011-1027. [doi: [10.1037/0022-3514.93.6.1011](https://doi.org/10.1037/0022-3514.93.6.1011)] [Medline: [18072851](https://pubmed.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/18072851/)]
83. Oyserman D. Identity-based motivation. In: Scott R, Kosslyn S, editors. Emerging Trends in the Social and Behavioral Sciences. Hoboken, NJ: John Wiley & Sons, Inc; 2015.
84. Fishbach A, Toure-Tillery M. Motives and goals. In: Biswas-Diener R, Diener E, editors. Noba Textbook Series: Psychology. Motiv Goals Internet Champaign, IL: DEF publishers; 2018.
85. Kruglanski AW, Shah JY, Fishbach A, Friedman R, Chun W, Sleeth-Keppler D. A theory of goal systems. In: Zanna MP, editor. Advances in Experimental Social Psychology. San Diego, CA: Academic Press; 2002:331-378.
86. Michie S, Yardley L, West R, Patrick K, Greaves F. Developing and evaluating digital interventions to promote behavior change in health and health care: recommendations resulting from an international workshop. J Med Internet Res 2017 Jun 29;19(6):e232 [FREE Full text] [doi: [10.2196/jmir.7126](https://doi.org/10.2196/jmir.7126)] [Medline: [28663162](https://pubmed.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/28663162/)]
87. Wearing JR, Nollen N, Befort C, Davis AM, Agemy CK. iPhone app adherence to expert-recommended guidelines for pediatric obesity prevention. Child Obes 2014 Apr;10(2):132-144 [FREE Full text] [doi: [10.1089/chi.2013.0084](https://doi.org/10.1089/chi.2013.0084)] [Medline: [24655230](https://pubmed.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/24655230/)]
88. Samdal GB, Eide GE, Barth T, Williams G, Meland E. Effective behaviour change techniques for physical activity and healthy eating in overweight and obese adults; systematic review and meta-regression analyses. Int J Behav Nutr Phys Act 2017 Dec 28;14(1):42 [FREE Full text] [doi: [10.1186/s12966-017-0494-y](https://doi.org/10.1186/s12966-017-0494-y)] [Medline: [28351367](https://pubmed.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/28351367/)]
89. Williams SL, French DP. What are the most effective intervention techniques for changing physical activity self-efficacy and physical activity behaviour--and are they the same? Health Educ Res 2011 Apr;26(2):308-322 [FREE Full text] [doi: [10.1093/her/cyr005](https://doi.org/10.1093/her/cyr005)] [Medline: [21321008](https://pubmed.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/21321008/)]

90. Hoffmann A, Christmann CA, Bleser G. Gamification in stress management apps: a critical app review. *JMIR Serious Games* 2017 Jun 7;5(2):e13 [FREE Full text] [doi: [10.2196/games.7216](https://doi.org/10.2196/games.7216)] [Medline: [28592397](https://pubmed.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/28592397/)]
91. Lister C, West JH, Cannon B, Sax T, Brodegard D. Just a fad? Gamification in health and fitness apps. *JMIR Serious Games* 2014 Aug;2(2):e9 [FREE Full text] [doi: [10.2196/games.3413](https://doi.org/10.2196/games.3413)] [Medline: [25654660](https://pubmed.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/25654660/)]
92. Edwards EA, Lumsden J, Rivas C, Steed L, Edwards LA, Thiagarajan A, et al. Gamification for health promotion: systematic review of behaviour change techniques in smartphone apps. *Br Med J Open* 2016 Oct 04;6(10):e012447 [FREE Full text] [doi: [10.1136/bmjopen-2016-012447](https://doi.org/10.1136/bmjopen-2016-012447)] [Medline: [27707829](https://pubmed.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/27707829/)]
93. Payne HE, Moxley VB, MacDonald E. Health behavior theory in physical activity game apps: a content analysis. *JMIR Serious Games* 2015;3(2):e4 [FREE Full text] [doi: [10.2196/games.4187](https://doi.org/10.2196/games.4187)] [Medline: [26168926](https://pubmed.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/26168926/)]
94. Schoffman DE, Turner-McGrievy G, Jones SJ, Wilcox S. Mobile apps for pediatric obesity prevention and treatment, healthy eating, and physical activity promotion: just fun and games? *Transl Behav Med* 2013 Sep;3(3):320-325 [FREE Full text] [doi: [10.1007/s13142-013-0206-3](https://doi.org/10.1007/s13142-013-0206-3)] [Medline: [24073184](https://pubmed.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/24073184/)]
95. Brannon EE, Cushing CC. A systematic review: is there an app for that? Translational science of pediatric behavior change for physical activity and dietary interventions. *J Pediatr Psychol* 2015 May;40(4):373-384. [doi: [10.1093/jpepsy/jsu108](https://doi.org/10.1093/jpepsy/jsu108)] [Medline: [25502745](https://pubmed.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/25502745/)]
96. Ashford S, Edmunds J, French DP. What is the best way to change self-efficacy to promote lifestyle and recreational physical activity? A systematic review with meta-analysis. *Br J Health Psychol* 2010 May;15(Pt 2):265-288. [doi: [10.1348/135910709X461752](https://doi.org/10.1348/135910709X461752)] [Medline: [19586583](https://pubmed.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/19586583/)]
97. De Lepeleere S, De Bourdeaudhuij I, Cardon G, Verloigne M. Do specific parenting practices and related parental self-efficacy associate with physical activity and screen time among primary schoolchildren? A cross-sectional study in Belgium. *Br Med J Open* 2015 Sep 7;5(9):e007209 [FREE Full text] [doi: [10.1136/bmjopen-2014-007209](https://doi.org/10.1136/bmjopen-2014-007209)] [Medline: [26346871](https://pubmed.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/26346871/)]
98. te Velde SJ, ChinAPaw MJ, De Bourdeaudhuij I, Bere E, Maes L, Moreno L, et al. Parents and friends both matter: simultaneous and interactive influences of parents and friends on European schoolchildren's energy balance-related behaviours - the ENERGY cross-sectional study. *Int J Behav Nutr Phys Act* 2014 Jul 8;11:82 [FREE Full text] [doi: [10.1186/1479-5868-11-82](https://doi.org/10.1186/1479-5868-11-82)] [Medline: [25001090](https://pubmed.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/25001090/)]
99. Loucaides CA, Tsangaridou N. Associations between parental and friend social support and children's physical activity and time spent outside playing. *Int J Pediatr* 2017;2017:7582398 [FREE Full text] [doi: [10.1155/2017/7582398](https://doi.org/10.1155/2017/7582398)] [Medline: [28348605](https://pubmed.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/28348605/)]
100. Guendelman S, Broderick A, Mlo H, Gemmill A, Lindeman D. Listening to communities: mixed-method study of the engagement of disadvantaged mothers and pregnant women with digital health technologies. *J Med Internet Res* 2017 Jul 5;19(7):e240 [FREE Full text] [doi: [10.2196/jmir.7736](https://doi.org/10.2196/jmir.7736)] [Medline: [28679489](https://pubmed.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/28679489/)]
101. Joan Ganz Cooney Center. 2016 Feb 3. Digital Equity: Technology and Learning in the Lives of Lower-Income Families URL: <https://tinyurl.com/y7ngke29> [accessed 2018-09-12] [WebCite Cache ID 72MrWyvrv]
102. Mani M, Kavanagh DJ, Hides L, Stoyanov SR. Review and evaluation of mindfulness-based iPhone apps. *JMIR Mhealth Uhealth* 2015;3(3):e82 [FREE Full text] [doi: [10.2196/mhealth.4328](https://doi.org/10.2196/mhealth.4328)] [Medline: [26290327](https://pubmed.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/26290327/)]
103. Paredes P, Gilad-Bachrach R, Hernandez J, Czerwinski M, Roseway A. PopTherapy: Coping with Stress through Pop-Culture. In: Proceedings of the 8th International Conference on Pervasive Computing Technologies for Healthcare. New York, NY: IEEE – Institute of Electrical and Electronics Engineers; 2014 Presented at: International Conference on Pervasive Technologies for Healthcare; May 2014; Oldenburg, Germany p. 109-117 URL: <https://dl.acm.org/citation.cfm?id=2686909> [doi: [10.4108/icst.pervasivehealth.2014.255070](https://doi.org/10.4108/icst.pervasivehealth.2014.255070)]
104. Rathbone AL, Clarry L, Prescott J. Assessing the efficacy of mobile health apps using the basic principles of cognitive behavioral therapy: systematic review. *J Med Internet Res* 2017 Nov 28;19(11):e399 [FREE Full text] [doi: [10.2196/jmir.8598](https://doi.org/10.2196/jmir.8598)] [Medline: [29187342](https://pubmed.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/29187342/)]
105. Etherington D. TechCrunch. 2017 Nov 8. Niantic's follow-up to Pokémon Go will be a Harry Potter AR game launching in 2018 URL: <https://tinyurl.com/ya7kdvpt> [accessed 2018-09-12] [WebCite Cache ID 72MrYHvqR]

Abbreviations

- BCT:** behavior change technique
- IBM:** identity-based motivation
- JME:** joint media engagement
- LSI:** Leisure Score Index
- PA:** physical activity

Edited by G Eysenbach; submitted 09.04.18; peer-reviewed by J Stephens, K Mateo, S Oncioiu; comments to author 14.06.18; revised version received 09.08.18; accepted 26.08.18; published 03.10.18

Please cite as:

Militello LK, Hanna N, Nigg CR

Pokémon GO Within the Context of Family Health: Retrospective Study

JMIR Pediatr Parent 2018;1(2):e10679

URL: <http://pediatrics.jmir.org/2018/2/e10679/>

doi: [10.2196/10679](https://doi.org/10.2196/10679)

PMID: [31518294](https://pubmed.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/31518294/)

©Lisa K Militello, Nathan Hanna, Claudio R Nigg. Originally published in JMIR Pediatrics and Parenting (<http://pediatrics.jmir.org>), 03.10.2018. This is an open-access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License (<https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/>), which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work, first published in JMIR Pediatrics and Parenting, is properly cited. The complete bibliographic information, a link to the original publication on <http://pediatrics.jmir.org>, as well as this copyright and license information must be included.